

RESTON, VA 20190

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/796,287 03/10/2004 Klaus Lidolt 03100198AA 9372 30743 08/23/2005 **EXAMINER** WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. ALI, SHUMAYA B 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 340

3743 DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sp		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,287	LIDOLT ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shumaya B. Ali	3743			
)	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM					
_					
36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.					
will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. , cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). g date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any					
į	arch 2004.				
action is non-final.					
٦	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is		
Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
wn from consideration.					
r election requirement.					
i	I)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object aminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Ci	FR 1.121(d).		
	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
s	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received	ed in this National	Stage		

		''				
	10/796,287	LIDOLT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shumaya B. Ali	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 March 2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5-8 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
Notice of Neterences Offed (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,287 Page 2

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, and failing to further limit the parent claims due to improper form. Accordingly, the claims 5-8 have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Stark et al. US Patent 5,052,375.

2. **As to claim 1, Stark et al. disclose** an orthopedic aid (fig1, 2) with two parts (fig.2, 6aa, 6ab) which are movable relative to one another (two parts are hinged, fig.4, 20b) and with a locking device () for locking the two parts in a predetermined relative position and for unlocking the parts in order to permit movement of the parts with respects to one another ("locking" and "unlocking" are considered inherent function of the control manual 10 which extends or flexes the two parts, therefore allowing extension would

Art Unit: 3743

prevent or lock flexion and vice versa), wherein the locking device can be actuated electromechanically (via 10, figs.1,4,12) from a control module (10; figs.1,4,12), and an actuating signal can be sent by wireless transmission from an actuating unit to the control module, **as to claim 2** wherein the actuating unit is integrated into a walking aid (fig.1, 2) (fig.2 seem to disclose the actuator unit is secured to the walking aid, therefore considered integrated),

3. As to claim 3, Stark et al. do not disclose wherein the actuating unit is accommodated in a handgrip of the walking aid, and as to claim 4 do not disclose wherein an actuating button is arranged on a free end face of the handgrip. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art to alternate the location of the actuating unit for meeting patient's need and comfort.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 5-8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should refer to other claims in the alternative only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5-6 have not been further treated on the merits.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon: US 5,103,807 discloses electronic control system used with an orthopedic aid.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shumaya B. Ali whose telephone number is 571-272-6088. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,287 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shumaya B Ali

Examiner Art Unit 3743

Henrylsernett
Supervisory Faterit Exerciner